## REMARKS

The subject Office Action rejects all claims under 35 USC §103(a) as unpatentable over prior art to Flisik et al. in view of Farris et al. Claims 1, 6 and 8 are amended hereby and new claims 13 – 20 are added. A check in the amount of \$160 is enclosed to cover the fee for 2 additional independent claims.

Specifically, neither Flisik et al. nor Farris et al. discloses or suggests "means for simultaneously transferring an incoming call to a user in communication with the server and providing caller-related information to said user," as required by amended claim 1. Support for this new claim language is found on page 5, lines 3 – 10 of the application under consideration. Thus claim 1 is deemed to be allowable as are dependent claims 3, 4, and 5.

Amended claim 6 requires the "server being manually or dynamically configurable to communicate with the desired telephony environments." Such a restriction does not appear in, and is not suggested by, any known prior art, and thus puts claims 6 and 7 in condition for allowance. The amendment to claim 6 is supported in the specification at page 5, lines 11 - 17.

Amended claim 8 similarly contains a limitation of "means for dynamically configuring said server," and a further limitation of "means for actively selecting one of said telephony environments". Neither of these features is disclosed or suggested by the prior art. Therefore, claim 8 and dependent claims 9 and 10 are believed to be allowable.

Serial No. 09/277,286 Attorney Ref: 024/7

New claims 13 - 20 describe a method that is respectfully submitted to be patentable over the cited prior patents.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all claims pending are allowable. Therefore, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael R. Philips Reg. No. 34,407

Attorney for Applicant

Kaplan & Gilman, LLP 900 Route 9 North Woodbridge, NJ 07095 732-634-7634